

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Office States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET'NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/783,112	02/14/2001	Josh N. Hogan	10971806-3	2220
7590 03/10/2006 HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			EXAMINER	
			GYORFI, THOMAS A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2000	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	,	·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	09/783,112	HOGAN, JOSH N.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tom Gyorfi	2135					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	anuary 2006						
	action is non-final.						
· <u> </u>							
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	•						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,10 and 26-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-28</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.							
· _ · · · — · ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
	r ciconom requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	pate Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/783,112 Page 2

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 10, and 26-28 remain for examination.

Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments, see the amendment filed 1/05/06, with respect to the various rejections of claims 1, 10, and 26-28 under 35 USC 103 over the various cited references, the double patenting rejection of claim 26, and the objection to claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The aforementioned rejections/objections have been withdrawn.
- 3. Examiner notes that Applicant failed to traverse the double patenting rejection of claim 1. In fact, it is noted that each of the limitations that Applicant illustrated as belonging to claim 8 of the '961 patent but not recited in claim 26 of the instant application are explicitly recited in claim 1 of the instant application. As such, the double patenting rejection of claim 1 remains proper.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 09/783,112

Art Unit: 2135

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Page 3

5. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 8 of U.S. Patent No. 6,252,961.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the patent claim recites every element from the claims of the instant application except for two details. With regards to the ECC block that is coded according to an error code correction method, this element is a tautology that adds no new matter over the claim in the instant application; a block of ECC-coded data must, by definition, be encoded according to an error code correction method. With regards to the encryption mask being encoded according to the same error code correction method, note that the patent teaches that the disclosed system would function correctly even if the encryption mask contained errors (Hogan, column 5, lines 60-63).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to omit this step, as it would not disrupt the functioning of the remaining elements while simplifying the overall design. See *In re Karlson* 136 USPQ 184 (CCPA 1963)

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 26-28 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 1 would be allowable over the prior art, pending resolution of the double patenting rejection above.

Application/Control Number: 09/783,112 Page 4

Art Unit: 2135

8. Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom Gyorfi whose telephone number is (571) 272-3849. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Vu can be reached on (571) 272-3859. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TAG 3/2/06

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100